IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE QUEEN'S BENCH DIVISION

BETWEEN

GIDEON FALTER

Claimant

and

GILAD ATZMON

PARTICULARS OF CLAIM

- 1 The Claimant is the Chairman of the Campaign Against Antisemitism ("CAA").
- 2 The Defendant is a well-known jazz musician.
- 3 All dates occurred in 2017 unless stated otherwise.
- 4 The Defendant published a very widely read website: www.gilad.co.uk ("the website").
- 5 From on or about 18 July to on or about 20 December the Defendant published the following words on the website which defamed the Claimant:

Antisemitism is Merely a Business Plan

(Beneath this heading was a drawing of a document with the words "Business Plan" followed by the symbol of the CAA.)

While the Crown Prosecution Service (CPS) attests that there has been no increase in anti-Semitism in Britain, in the following Sky News interview, Jewish ethnic activist Gideon Falter, insists that Jew hatred is on the rise.

(Embedded link enabling a reader to view the Sky interview with the Claimant.)

We are asked to choose between two versions of the truth, that delivered by Falter who leads the Campaign Against Antisemitism (CAA) and basically makes his living manufacturing antisemitic incidents and the judicial approach of the CPS: a public body, subject to scrutiny and committed to impartiality. This is hardly a difficult choice.

Falter and the CAA obviously fabricate anti-Semitic incidents. Falter interprets condemnation of Israel and Jewish politics as "hate crimes". It seems the CPS doesn't buy Falter's duplicitous claims. Against the odds and despite the treacherous Zionised British political elite, the CPS insists upon defending freedom of expression so that Britain may still be able to regard itself an "open society".

Openly and in the name of the Jews, Falter condemns the Crown Prosecution Service. Falter conspicuously operates to wipe out the British liberal heritage of freedom of speech. This attempt may not be very popular amongst Brits and could lead to some unfortunate circumstances for British Jews. I guess that this is exactly what Falter and the CAA are after. Since Falter makes a living out of the "rise of anti-Semitism," it shouldn't surprise us that he himself propels such a rise.

This dynamic is at the core of the Zionist philosophy. Since Israel presents itself as a "Jewish shelter," its existence becomes meaningful only when a shelter is desired. As we often witness, it is Israel's politics and policies that instigate global Jew hatred and hatred actually affirms Israel's existence as a safe haven for world Jewry. Falter and the CAA employ the same method. A decrease in anti-Semitic incidents or Jews being loved and cherished could have fatal consequences for Falter and his CAA's business plan. They need anti-Semitism and a lot of it. When it isn't there, they just invent it.

The only issue that concerns me here, is where does all this leave the Goyim, the gentiles, the non-Jews?

Falter and his CAA need the Jews to be hated so they can collect more and more British taxpayer money. But what is the role of the ordinary Brit? If hating the Jews means working for Falter and the CAA what is left for the non-Jews? Not a lot, I can tell you.

6 In their natural and ordinary meanings the words complained of meant and were understood to mean that:

- a) The Claimant is deliberately encouraging British people to hate Jews. His business plan is to incite anti-Semitism so that he can get more and more money from the British taxpayer. Where anti-Semitism does not exist, he dishonestly fabricates anti-Semitic incidents in order to defraud the British taxpayer into giving him money.
- b) For these reasons, the Claimant is a devious fraud and a hypocrite. He publicly campaigns against anti-Semitism but in reality his business plan is that he wants Jews to be hated so that he can make money.

Serious Harm

- 7 In all the circumstances and pending disclosure of documents concerning the number of people who read the words complained of, it is inferred that a significant number of people read them.
- The Claimant relies upon the inference that the publication of such a serious allegation caused serious harm to his reputation. Should the Defendant challenge this inference, the Claimant will advance additional particulars of serious harm to reputation in his Reply.
- 9 By reason of the publication of the words complained of the Claimant's reputation has been seriously damaged and he has been caused substantial upset and distress.

Damage

- 10 The Claimant relies upon the following particulars in support of his case that he has been caused substantial upset and distress by the publication complained of:
- 10.1 the words complained of formed part of an anti-Semitic attack upon him;
- 10.2 they deployed a repellent and upsetting rehash of the anti-Semitic trope that Jews are primarily motivated by the desire to make money at all costs, even stooping to inciting anti-Semitism as a means to make money; and
- **10.3** they struck at and undermined the Claimant's commitment to combatting anti-Semitism.
- 11 Unless restrained by this Honourable Court the Defendant will further publish or cause to be published the said or similar words defamatory of the Claimant.

AND the Claimant claims:

- (1) Damages for libel (including aggravated damages).
- (2) An injunction to restrain the Defendant, by himself, his servants or agents or employees or otherwise howsoever, from further publishing or causing or permitting the publication of the words complained of or of any similar words defamatory of the Claimant.

15 February 2018

William Bennett

STATEMENT OF TRUTH

I believe that the facts stated in these Particulars of Claim are true.

Signed

Claimant